

An afflitate of the American Psychological Association

PENNSYLVANIA PSYCHOLOGICAL

416 Forster Street • Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17102-1748 • Telephone 717-232-3817 • Fax 717-232-7294

RECEIVED

September 24, 2003

DRIVER SAFETY DIVISION BUS, OF DRIVER LICENSING

SEP 2 3 2003

RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 2003

BUREAU OF DRIVER LICENSING

Dear Ms. Bickley:

Rebecca Bickley

Bureau of Driver Licensing

1101 South Front Street

Harrisburg, PA 17104

On behalf of the Pennsylvania Psychological Association, I am responding to the proposed changes in the regulations dealing with impaired drivers as published in the August 23, 2003 issue of the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

We are in general support of these regulations, but I would recommend two minor changes. These are technical in nature and are only intended to improve the clarity of the regulations.

Section 83.5 (b)(Disqualifications on provider's recommendations) reads that a person will not be qualified to drive if they have a condition "likely to impair the ability to control and safely perform motor functions necessary to drive a motor vehicle." I think this should be modified because some of the criteria below refer to cognitive skills related to driving, not just motor skills. It could be rewritten to read "likely to interfere with the ability to control and safely operate a motor vehicle."

Also, (b) (5) uses the terms "examination by the physician" where it should read "examination by the provider" because psychologists also use the DSM-IV. This would be consistent with other parts of the regulations which use the word physician deliberately (such as the reporting of epilepsy).

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to these draft regulations.

Sincerely, Samuel Knepp, Ed.D.

Director of Professional Affairs

Officers

President Melvin L. Rogers, Ph.D.

President-Elect Stephen N. Berk, Ph.D.

Past President Paul W. Kettlewell, Ph.D.

Secretary John D. Gavazzi, Psy.D.

Treasurer Nancy H. Chubb, MBA, Ph.D.

Board Chairs

Communications Richard P. Johnson, Ph.D.

Internal Affairs Daniel P. Weldon, Ed.D.

Professional Psychology Richard J. Ievoli, Ph.D.

Program & Education Andrea M. Delligatti, Ph.D.

Public Interest Salvatore S. Cullari, Ph.D.

School Psychology Helena Tulcya-Payne, D.Ed.

APA Representatives

Linda K. Knauss, Ph.D. Jeffrey Pincus, Ph.D.

Executive Director Thomas H. DeWall, CAE

Director of Professional Affairs & Deputy Executive Director Samuel J. Knapp, Ed.D.

Government Relations Consultant Susan M. Shanaman, J.D.

Web site www.papsy.org

PENNSYLVANIA OPTOMETRIC ASSOCIATION

218 NURTH STREET · PO BOX 3312 · HARRISBURG, PA 17105 PHONE (717) 233-6455 · FAX (717) 233-6833 E-MAH. MAIL@POALVES.ORG · WEB SITE WWW.POALVES.ORG AUTHALD WHD THE ANIBLE AND OPTIMILTER ASSOCIATION

. . . .

Original: 2346

September 18, 2003

Mr. John McGinley, Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market Street 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

RECEIVED RECEIVED SEP 2 6 2003 SEP 2 2 2003 BUREAU OF DRIVER LICENSING DRIVER SAFETY DIVISION BUR. OF DRIVER LICENSING DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

2003 SEP 22 AM 9: 27

REVIEW CONFIDENTIAL

2

RE: Comments of the Pennsylvania Optometric Association regarding the proposed rulemaking under 67 Pa. Code Chapter 83.

Dcar Mr. McGinley:

The Pennsylvania Optometric Association (POA) fully supports the Department of Transportations proposed rulemaking regarding the vision standards relating to the licensing of drivers.

The POA requests that the final form regulation and comment and response documents are forwarded to us, and that we continue to be kept apprised of all future correspondence relating to this matter.

Thank you for your continued support.

Sincerely,

PENNSYLVANIA OPTOMETRIC ASSOCIATION

Shup Y. Ritter, O.D.

Greg L. Bittner, O.D. President

GLB/alz

Greenty L. Billner, O.B. Presiden Daniel W. Daberneck, O.D. Exa.a. Jouar Carl J. Urbanski, a.n. Previdentika Mark B. Bons, 0.D. M.S. Jouer Marter I_ Month, O.D., Ka. S.D. Domestime Pau President Burbers M. Yangk O.D. Tuniye

Amhony S. Diecidae, 1819, 1818 Secondenter Paul J. Labby, 1919, Tanke

Charles J. Stuckey, J., O.D., M.P.A., E.A.A.O., Executer Director



Fiona E. Wilmarth

Regulatory Analyst

Facsimile Cover Sheet



RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 2003

BUREAU OF DRIVER LICENSING DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

Phone: (717) 783-5438 Fax #: (717) 783-2664 E-mail: irrc@irrc.state.pa.us Website: www.irrc.state.pa.us

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 333 MARKET STREET, 14TH FLOOR, HARRISBURG, PA 17101

Date: 9-22-03

To: Rebecca Bickley Phone: 787-4701

Agency: PA Department of Transportation

Fax: 772-5774

Re: Proposed Rulemaking # 18-374 (IRRC # 2346)

Number of pages including cover sheet: 2

Message: We received the attached comment letter today from the Pennsylvania Optometric Association. We are forwarding a copy to you for your information.



Original: 2346 RECEIVED

2003 OCT - 3 AH 9: 34

INDEPENDENT ALL TUKY REVIEW COMMISSION

September 23, 2003

Alan Welder 503 Taylor Avenue Shillington, PA 19607

RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 2003

BUREAU OF DRIVER LICENSING

Robert Nyce, Director IRRC 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

RE: Proposed Revisions to Title 67

5

Dear Sirs:

I'm writing in regard to the proposed revisions to Title 67 PA Code Chapter 83. It is my understanding that the regulations being proposed under \$83.3 (visual standards) are to bring the regulations under section (e) into compliance with the statute by reducing the field of vision requirement from 140 degrees to 120 degrees. The regulation specifies that the field of vision shall be measured in the horizontal meridian. I would propose that the regulation be modified to allow the measurement to be taken within 5 degrees, plus or minus, of the horizontal meridian.

The rationale for this request is a follows:

- 1. The technology used to measure the field of vision usually puts the blindness directly on the meridian.
- 2. The measurement makes no allowance for an individual's height when sitting in the driver's seat. The blindness that would occur in the upper left or right quadrant would not affect a taller individual since the obstructed view is above the roofline of most vehicles.

In lieu of changing the measurement on the meridian, I would suggest that the same standards could be used that is applied in section (f) sight in one eye. This section allows driving privileges to be restricted to vehicles having mirrors located as to reflect to the person a view of the highway.

I would further suggest that driving privileges could be reinstated if a new driver's examination were to be successfully completed.

l feel these proposed changes would not pose a hazard to the driving public and would be in conformance with the intent of the American's with Disabilities Act.

If you have any questions on this matter, I can be reached at 610-775-0839.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my view on this matter and I request your consideration to revise the proposed revised regulations.

1003 Jao3

Sincerely Yours,

Alan Welder

cc: Department of Transportation, Bureau of Driver Licensing John R. McGinley, Chairman IRRC Senator Madigan, Majority Chair, Senate Transportation Senator Stout, Minority Chair, Senate Transportation Senator LaValle, Senate Transportation Representative Geist, Majority Chair, House Transportation



EDWARD H. DENCH JR., MD President

> JITENORA M. DESAI, MD President Elect

WILLIAM W. LANDER, MD Vice President

MICHAEL J. PRENDERGAST, MD

PROBOS R. BUERNER, UN. MD Secretary

> Rogen E. Mecuw Executive Vice President

Pennsylvania MEDICAL SOCIETY[®]

September 22, 2003

RECEIVED

DRIVER SAFETY DIVISION

BUR. OF DRIVER LICENSING

SEP 2 6 2003

SEP 2 9 2003

BUREAU OF DRIVER LICENSING DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

Ms. Rebecca L. Bickley Director, Bureau of Drivers Licensing 1101 South Front Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17104

Licensing of Drivers

Department of Transportation Proposed Regulations – Chapter 83: Physical and Mental Criteria, Including Vision Standards, for the

Dear Ms. Bickley:

Re:

I am writing as President of the Pennsylvania Medical Society to offer comments on the above captioned proposed regulations that were published in the <u>Pennsylvania Bulletin</u>.

The Society solicited comments from representatives of specialties familiar with the specific criteria affected by the proposed changes. Generally, there was no opposition to the proposed amendments. The Society does wish to offer comments on Section 83.4 "Seizure Disorders." Advances in treatment regimens makes it reasonable for the seizure free period to be shortened from twelve to six months before a person regains driving privileges. Also, allowing persons to drive who only experience auras seems reasonable. However, persons who experience an aura prior to a seizure should not be allowed to drive unless they complete the proposed six month seizure free period. Exemptions could be considered for persons with a consistent pattern of nocturnal seizures or for a person who was previously controlled during a medication change under the supervision of a physician (provided the previous medication is reinstated.)

1777 Fact Flank Drive

FO. Box 3820

Hamsburg, PA 17105 8820

Fax: 211-558-7840

leannaicheannachtairte

HAR DEPENDENCE

Concern was expressed by one of our commentators regarding section 83.4.c.4. It appears from the language that a person who has been seizure free for six months and suffers another seizure as a result of a head injury would be allowed to continue driving. The severity and type of injury and the presence of early post-traumatic seizures may increase the likelihood of subsequent seizures. It is therefore recommended that a waiver not be granted for patients with posttraumatic seizures, whether occurring for the first time or in a patient with a prior history of epilepsy. Post-traumatic seizures have been reported to occur as late as three years after certain types of injury, however, the majority of late seizures will occur within the first six to twelve months post-trauma. Therefore a six-month seizure free period for patients with post-traumatic seizures seems reasonable. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on these proposed regulations. After the changes are approved, the Medical Society would be pleased to assist the Department of Transportation in educating physicians as to the changes in criteria and their responsibilities for reporting.

Sincerely,

Estward A Durlof

Edward H. Dench, Jr., MD President

Cc: Independent Regulatory Review Commission

RECEIVED

SEP 29 2003

DRIVER SAFETY DIVISION BUR. OF DRIVER LICENSING